

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,210	12/15/2003	Kazuhisa Takagi	402907	1815	
23548 75	590 10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VOI	IT & MAYER, LTD		LEE, JOHN D		
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3960		2874		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	
X	
سب س	

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/735,210		TAKAGI, KAZUHISA				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			John D. Lee		2874	<u> </u>		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sisons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA f 37 CFR 1.136 nication. utory period wil fill, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUI 6(a). In no event, however, may Il apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION of a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on						
· _	•		action is non-final.					
,								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice		•					
Dispositi	on of Claims		·		•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	-	n from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 10 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 8,9 and 11 is/are objected to).						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
و ۱۳۵	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer						
,—	The drawing(s) filed on 15 December)□ objecte	ed to by the Exam	niner		
. 5/23	Applicant may not request that any object			<u> </u>	•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t					FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		•			` '		
·	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12)[\]	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign r	oriority under 35 H.S.C	: 8 119(a).	-(d) or (f)			
	All b) Some * c) None of:	or roleight p	ononky under 55 5.5.6). g 115(a)	-(d) or (i).			
-/-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments	have been received					
				n Applicatio	on No.			
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
· * S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
225 this allastica actuales action for a flot of the defining copies not received.								
Attach	etc)							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	`	A) Intende	w Summon	(PTO-413)			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		· —		atent Application (PTC)-152)		
- Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1203</u> .	<u> </u>	6)	··				

Application/Control Number: 10/735,210

Art Unit: 2874

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 (a)-(d) or (f). All of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

The five (5) sheets of drawings filed in this application are acceptable.

The disclosure has not been studied to the extent necessary to discover all possible minor errors therein. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following minor informality. Appropriate correction is required. The Examiner doesn't understand the insertion of the word "of" in line 7 of claim 1 via the preliminary amendment. The insertion of this word makes no sense grammatically. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,282,015 to Ueno et al. Ueno et al discloses, with respect to the prior art in Figure 1A thereof, the presence of an optical wavelength converter comprising essentially all the same elements as applicant's claimed converter. The prior art wavelength converter comprises an input-light splitter 27 for splitting an input phase-modulated light signal pulse at a first wavelength λ_1 into first and second split light (light paths 28 and 29); a continuous-wave light source (such as an injection laser diode) 21 at a second wavelength λ_2 into which is also split (at 23) into first and second split light; a Mach-Zehnder interferometer containing nonlinear portions 24 and 25, one in each arm

Application/Control Number: 10/735,210

Art Unit: 2874

of the interferometer; and an output coupler 30 for outputting an output phase-modulated light signal pulse at the second wavelength λ_2 . Although the description in Ueno et al does not use the same terminology set forth in applicant's claims, the person of ordinary skill in the art would obviously have known and understood that the Mach-Zehnder interferometer of the prior art wavelength converter operates as a "multiplex interference element" to multiplex-interfere the first and second split lights (both from the input signal pulse and from the continuous-wave light source). The person of ordinary skill in the art would further have known and understood that the Mach-Zehnder interferometer of the prior art wavelength converter (because of the non-linear elements in the arms thereof) performs a cross-phase modulation of the continuous-wave light at wavelength λ_2 and the signal pulse light at wavelength λ_1). The output signal pulse is thus a phase-modulated pulse like that of the input signal pulse but at a converted wavelength λ_2 . The optical wavelength converter of the above-identified claims would therefore have been obvious over that of Ueno et al Figure 1A since, as just explained, the Mach-Zehnder interferometer of the reference is equivalent to the claimed "multiplex-interference portion" and "phase modulation portion" broadly stated in the claims. Notice also that, in Ueno et al Figure 1A, one of the split light paths is delayed with respect to the other. The amount of delay (e.g. a one-bit delay or less than a one-bit delay) would obviously be chosen by the practicioner in accordance with the particular application in which the wavelength converter is being used.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,282,015 to Ueno et al, as applied to claims 2 and 3 above, further in view of U.S. Patent 6,646,784 to Leuthold. In the Ueno et al Figure 1A wavelength converter,

Application/Control Number: 10/735,210

Art Unit: 2874

the nonlinear portions 24 and 25 are implemented as nonlinear waveguide segments. The use of other nonlinear elements for performing cross-phase modulation in similar optical wavelength converters is well known in the art, however. For instance, Leuthold teaches using semiconductor optical amplifiers for this purpose. The use of semiconductor optical amplifiers as the nonlinear portions 24 and 25 in the Ueno et al Figure 1A wavelength converter would thus have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,282,015 to Ueno et al, as applied to claims 2 and 3 above, further in view of U.S. Patent 6,515,793 to Edagawa et al. In the Ueno et al Figure 1A wavelength converter, the nonlinear portions 24 and 25 are implemented as nonlinear waveguide segments. The use of other nonlinear elements for performing cross-phase modulation in similar optical wavelength converters is well known in the art, however. For instance, Edagawa et al teaches using electro-absorption modulators for this purpose. The use of electro-absorption modulators as the nonlinear portions 24 and 25 in the Ueno et al Figure 1A wavelength converter would thus have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 8, 9, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Ueno et al, the closest prior art document of record, does not disclose or suggest the plural serially arranged phase modulator embodiment set forth in claims 8 and 9. Ueno et al also does not disclose or suggest the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/735,210

Art Unit: 2874

use of an optical bistable device for generating intensity-modulated light in the manner set forth in claim 11.

All of the prior art documents cited by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statement filed on December 15, 2003, have been considered and made of record. Note the attached initialed copy of form PTO-1449.

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner John D. Lee at telephone number (571) 272-2351. The Examiner's normal work schedule is Tuesday through Friday, 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature (i.e. a request for a missing form or paper, etc.) should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562, to the technical support staff supervisor (Team 8) at telephone number (571) 272-1564, or to the Technology Center 2800 Customer Service Office at telephone number (571) 272-1626.

John DLee

Primary Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2874